COMPARATIVE TOXICITY OF IMIDACLOPRID, OF ITS COMMERCIAL LIQUID FORMULATION AND OF DIAZINON TO A NON-TARGET ARTHROPOD, THE MICROCRUSTACEAN Daphnia magna

Anita Jemec¹, Tatjana Tišler¹, Damjana Drobne², Kristina Sepčić², Didier Fournier³ Polonca Trebše⁴*

¹National Institute of Chemistry, Hajdrihova 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
²University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Biology, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
³IPBS-UMR 5089, Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale, Group de Biophysique et de Biotechnologie des Proteines, 205 Route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse, France
⁴University of Nova Gorica, Laboratory for Environmental Research, P.O.B. 301, SI-5001

Nova Gorica, Slovenia

***CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

POLONCA TREBŠE

University of Nova Gorica

Laboratory for Environmental Research

Vipavska 13, P.O.B. 301, SI-5001 Nova Gorica, Slovenia, Europe

PHONE: +386 5 3315 238

FAX: +386 5 3315 296; E- MAIL: polonca.trebse@p-ng.si

- 1 Abstract
- 2

3 Imidacloprid (IMI) is at the moment the insecticide with the world's fastest growing 4 sales and is considered possible replacement for the widely used organophosphorus pesticide, 5 diazinon, which is subject to phased revocation in many countries. In this study, biochemical, 6 reproductive and survival parameters of the water flea (Daphnia magna) after chronic 7 exposure to IMI, its commercial liquid formulation Confidor SL 200 and diazinon are 8 presented and compared. According to the lowest observed effect concentrations, diazinon is 9 more toxic to the reproduction of D. magna than IMI and Confidor SL 200, which exert 10 similar toxicity. The same was observed for the survival, except that Confidor SL 200 is more 11 toxic than IMI. In polluted aquatic environments, the actual levels of diazinon are potentially 12 chronically hazardous to the reproduction of *D. magna* (risk quotient >1). According to very 13 few measured environmental levels of IMI, the latter is not expected to be chronically 14 hazardous, unless it is accidentally spilled in a small pond. In such case, the predicted 15 concentrations of IMI would present a potential chronic risk to D. magna, and a potential 16 acute risk to other aquatic invertebrates. In the future, higher environmental levels of IMI are 17 expected due to its increasing use and physico-chemical properties. The literature survey 18 summarized in this work suggests that further ecotoxicological studies with a broader 19 spectrum of aquatic organisms are needed before IMI is classified as safer than currently 20 applied pesticides.

- 21
- 22
- 23

26

The insecticide imidacloprid [1-(6-chloro-3-pyridylmethyl)-N-nitro-imidazolidin-2-27 vlideneamine] (IMI) has been increasingly used since 1991 (Elbert et al., 1991) and belongs 28 29 to the fastest growing group of insecticides introduced to the market, referred to as 30 neonicotinoids (Tomizawa and Casida, 2003). It acts as an agonist of the postsynaptic 31 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Matsuda et al., 2001), disrupting the normal neural 32 processes, and is used mainly to control sucking insects on crops (Tomlin, 1997; Tomizawa 33 and Casida, 2005). IMI is a potential groundwater and surface water contaminant (PAN 34 Pesticides database, 2006), because it can leach and runoff from soil and crops (Felsot et al., 1998; Gonzalez-Pradas et al., 1999; Armbrust and Peeler, 2002; Gupta et al., 2002; Fossen, 35 2006). Additionally, it may enter water bodies from spray drift or accidental spills, leading to 36 37 local point-source contaminations.

IMI is considered a possible replacement for urban uses of diazinon (TDC Environmental, 2003), one of the most used insecticides in the last 50 years. Namely, diazinon is currently subject to phased revocation in USA (U.S. EPA, 2004), European Union and Australia (APVMA, 2003), because unacceptable risk to agricultural workers and environment was proved. As a result, the annual use of diazinon has already declined, for instance in USA (California) by 65% in the years 1994-2004 (California DPR, 2004).

To regulate the impacts of IMI on aquatic ecosystems, its toxicological profile needs to be thoroughly established. Until now, the toxicity of IMI to aquatic invertebrates has rarely been assessed and very few monitoring studies of this insecticide have been performed in aquatic environments (Table 1). This is due to the former belief that the compound is relatively immobile in soil and does not leach to groundwater (Bayer technical information for Confidor[®], 2000; Krohn and Hellpointer, 2002). A variety of standard toxicity tests are available for testing the toxicity of chemicals present in aquatic environment. Standard acute (ISO 6341:1996) and chronic (ISO 10706: 2000) toxicity test with the water flea *Daphnia magna* are among the most used, where immobility and reproduction are monitored, respectively. In the case of low concentrations of chemicals, biochemical biomarkers are generally considered a more sensitive and sometimes more specific measure of toxic exposure and effect than the survival, however this approach is not standardised yet (Adams, 2002).

57 Among the most commonly analyzed biochemical biomarkers are the activities of 58 cholinesterases (ChE), glutathione S-transferase (GST) and catalase (CAT). The inhibition of 59 ChE by organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides results in overaccumulation of the 60 neurotransmitter and, as a consequence, prolonged electrical activity at nerve endings 61 (Chambers, 1992). GST catalyses the conjugation of glutathione with xenobiotics, including 62 organophosphorus pesticides (Booth and O'Halloran, 2001), and the cytotoxic aldehydes 63 produced during lipid peroxidation (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999). Catalase decomposes 64 the hydrogen peroxide extensively formed during oxidative stress (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 65 1999). Protein content in D. magna is also used as a biomarker of chronic chemical exposure 66 (Knowles and Mckee, 1987), and reflects the entire physiological state of the organism (Printes and Callaghan, 2003). 67

In this study, chronic effects of IMI on different biochemical, reproductive, and survival parameters of *D. magna* were determined. Chronic effects of IMI on *D. magna* have rarely been evaluated; only one publicly inaccessible study describing the effects of IMI on the reproduction of *D. magna* (Young and Blakemore, 1990) has been conducted so far. The hazards of chemicals were compared using risk quotients (RQ); e.g. the ratio between the estimated/detected environmental concentrations divided by chronic toxicity values (21 d LOEC; the lowest observed exposure concentration that produces a statistically different response from the control response after 21 d) (U.S. EPA, 2004). The chemical was
considered potentially chronically hazardous if RQ>1, and acutely hazardous when RQ>0.5.
Higher RQ value corresponds to the higher potential risk (U.S. EPA, 2004). The toxicity data
of IMI were compared with its commercial liquid formulation (Confidor SL 200; containing
200 g/L of IMI in solvents) and with diazinon.

The aims of this work were: (1) to assess the chronic effects of IMI on biochemical, reproductive, and survival parameters in a non-target arthropod, *D. magna*, and (2) to compare its effects with its commercial liquid formulation Confidor SL 200 and with the organophosphorus pesticide diazinon. The comprehensive literature data on physico-chemical properties and environmental fate of IMI and diazinon and their toxicities to aquatic organisms are provided. The environmental risks of IMI and diazinon based on the actual and expected environmental concentrations are discussed.

87

88 2. Materials and Methods

89

90 2.1 *Chemicals*

The following chemicals were purchased from Sigma (Germany): dibasic and monobasic potassium phosphate, hydrogen peroxide (30%), 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene, Lglutathione (reduced form), 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid, sodium hydrogen carbonate, acetylthiocholine chloride, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. BCA Protein Assay Reagents A and B were purchased from Pierce (U.S.A.). Diazinon and 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone were provided by Pestanal, Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany); imidacloprid, Confidor SL 200 by Bayer CropScience AG (Monheim, Germany), and dimethylsulfoxide by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All chemicals were of the highest commercially available grade, typically 99% orhigher.

100

101

2.2 Chronic toxicity test with Daphnia magna Straus 1820 (water flea)

102

Water fleas (*Daphnia magna* Straus 1820) were obtained from the Institut für Wasser, Boden und Lufthygiene des Umweltbundesamtes (Berlin, Germany). They were cultured in 2.5 L of modified M4 media (Kühn et al., 1989) at 21±1 °C and 16:8 h light/dark regime (1800 lux) with a diet of the algae *Desmodesmus subspicatus* Chodat 1926 corresponding to 0.13 mg carbon/daphnia per day.

108 Our laboratory is accredited according to ISO 17025:1999 for standard acute testing 109 with D. magna. Chronic toxicity to daphnids was evaluated using a semi-static exposure 110 system under the same conditions as culturing (ISO 10706: 2000). Individual daphnids less 111 than 24 h old were placed in 50 mL of test solution; 10 test containers per each concentration 112 and a control were prepared. Chronic tests for each chemical were repeated up to three times. 113 The survived initial daphnids were transferred into freshly prepared test solutions three times 114 per week. The animals were fed daily a diet of Desmodesmus subspicatus (0.13 mg 115 carbon/daphnia per day) and the newly born neonates were counted and removed. The criteria 116 used to evaluate reproduction after 21 d were the number of neonates per adult, the average 117 brood size per adult, the number of broods per adult, and the time to the first reproduction. 118 The mortality of the daphnids during 21 d was also monitored.

The following concentrations of IMI: 0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 mg L⁻¹ and diazinon: 0, 0.0753, 0.165, 0.312, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 8 μ g L⁻¹ were tested. Confidor SL 200 was diluted in distilled water to obtain the following solutions: 0, 0.000625, 0.00125, 0.0025, 122 0.005, 0.01, 0.02% (v/v); which contained 0, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 mg L⁻¹ of IMI, 123 respectively. The toxicity of solvents incorporated in Confidor SL 200 (a solution consisting 124 of 38.4% of dimethylsulfoxide, 37.5% of 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone and 24.1% of distilled water 125 in place of IMI) was tested to exclude the possible toxic effect. The concentration of this 126 negative control was equivalent to the highest concentration of Confidor SL 200 used in the 127 tests (0.02%; v/v).

- 128
- 129

9 2.3 Monitoring of the stability of test chemicals during the tests

130

131 The test media were changed every two days. Prior to toxicity tests, the stabilities of 132 IMI and diazinon in the test solution were checked. The test solutions were exposed 133 separately to the same experimental conditions as the toxicity tests and the concentrations of 134 the specific chemicals were measured at the outset and after two days.

135 Diazinon solution (10 mL) was extracted with three portions of ethyl acetate (25, 20 and 136 10 mL) with the addition of 50 mL of a 10% aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate 137 (Bavcon et al., 2003). The solvent was evaporated, and the residue redissolved in 1 mL of 138 ethyl acetate and analysed by gas chromatography (HP 6890, Germany)) with a flame 139 ionization detector. Extraction of IMI was performed on initially preconditioned Strata C18-E 140 columns (Phenomenex, USA) with 5 mL of methanol and 5 mL of distilled water (Baskaran 141 et al., 1997). 1 mL of IMI solution was added to the column, and afterwards eluted with 2 mL 142 of methanol. The solvent was evaporated and dried IMI was dissolved in 1 mL of acetonitrile-143 water (20:80, v/v) solution. The samples were analyzed on Agilent 100 Series liquid 144 chromatograph (Germany) equipped with DAD detector on Zorbax C8 column.

Our experiments showed no changes in concentrations of IMI and diazinon in test solutions during two days of exposure to the same experimental conditions as in the toxicity tests. No degradation products of diazinon were detected. The actual exposure concentrations of both chemicals did not differ by more than 20% from the nominal or initial concentrations. Therefore the results are given in nominal concentrations, as suggested by ISO 10706: 2000.

150

151

2.4 Determination of enzyme activities

152

153 For each experiment, 10 test containers per each concentration of the chemical were 154 prepared. After 21 d in presence of the chemicals, five adult daphnids per concentration were 155 combined into one enzyme sample, thus two samples were prepared for each concentration. 156 Since each experiment was repeated three times, a total of six samples per concentration were 157 prepared. Prior to homogenization, excess chemical was removed from the surface of the 158 animals by rinsing three times with 2 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 combined with 5 159 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Jemec et al., 2007). The animals were then 160 homogenized for 3 min in 0.8 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0, using a glass-glass 161 Elvehjem-Potter homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged for 25 min at 15000 g and 4 162 °C. Enzyme activities were measured on freshly prepared supernatants.

163 ChE activity was determined according to Ellman et al. (1961), using microtiter plates 164 (Bio-Tek[®] Instruments, USA; PowerWaveTM XS) as described by Jemec et al. (2007). The 165 reaction mixture was prepared in 100 mM of potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.3 containing 166 acetylthiocholine chloride and 5,5' dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid in the final concentrations of 167 1 mM and 0.5 mM, respectively. 100 μ L of protein supernatant were added to start the 168 reaction, which was followed spectrophotometrically at 412 nm and 25 °C for 15 min. GST activity was measured on microtiter plates (Bio-Tek[®] Instruments, USA; PowerWaveTM XS) (Habig et al., 1974; Jemec et al., 2007). 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene was dissolved in ethanol to obtain a 50 mM solution, which was afterwards diluted with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.5 to the final concentration of 4 mM. This solution was used to prepare a reaction mixture containing 1 mM of 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene and 1 mM of reduced glutathione. 50 μ L of protein supernatant were added to start the reaction, which was followed spectrophotometrically at 340 nm and 25 °C for 3 min.

176 CAT activity was determined according to Aebi (1984). 50 µL of protein supernatant 177 were combined with 750 µL of hydrogen peroxide solution (10.8 mM) prepared in 50 mM 178 potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0. The final concentration of hydrogen peroxide was 10 179 mM. The reaction was followed spectrophotometrically for 3 min at 25 °C and 240 nm on a 180 Shimadzu UV-2101PC spectrophotometer (Japan).

Protein concentration was measured using a BCATM Protein Assay Kit, a modification
of the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA).

183

184 2.5 Interpretation of enzyme activities

185

Enzyme activities were expressed in enzyme units (EU) per one adult daphnia. Specific enzyme activities with protein content as a standard reference were also calculated for purposes of comparison. One EU was determined as the amount of ChE that hydrolyses 0.01 nmoles of acetylthiocholine min⁻¹ ($\epsilon_{412} = 13600 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), the amount of CAT that degrades 1 µmole of hydrogen peroxide min⁻¹ ($\epsilon_{240} = 43.6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), and the amount of GST that conjugates 1 nmole of reduced glutathione min⁻¹ ($\epsilon_{340} = 9600 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$). These enzyme units were chosen to facilitate the graphical comparison of all enzyme activities for eachchemical.

194

195 2.6 Data analysis

196

197 The 21 d LOEC values (e.g. the lowest observed effect concentration that produces a 198 statistically different response from the control response after 21 d) were determined by One-199 way Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA; P<0.05), and the Games-Howell post hoc test for 200 biochemical parameters and Dunnett's test for reproduction data, using SPSS for Windows 201 8.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). The LOLC value for mortality was determined as the lowest observed 202 lethal concentration that causes mortality higher than 20% as allowed for control organisms 203 by the ISO standard (ISO 10706: 2000). The results for IMI and Confidor SL 200 were fitted 204 to sigmoid curves to calculate the slopes using the GOSA Software (www.bio-log.biz, 205 France). The values for unexposed control animals were not included in the data fitting, but 206 they are shown on graphs for comparison.

207

208

8 2.7 Calculation of risk quotients (RQ) of tested chemicals

209

Risk quotients (RQ) for all tested chemicals were calculated as a ratio between the estimated/detected environmental concentrations divided by the LOEC for biochemical parameters and reproduction, and LOLC for survival determined in this study. For the comparison of the hazards of diazinon and IMI to different species of freshwater invertebrates and vertebrates, RQ were calculated using LC_{50} (96 h) values based on literature data.

10

Only four monitoring studies are at the moment available on environmental levels of IMI (Table 1). To determine RQ values for IMI, the lowest (1 μ g L⁻¹), and the highest (14 μ g L⁻¹) measured values, estimated chronic value in surface waters (17.24 μ g L⁻¹), and estimated worse-case scenario level of accidental spill in a small pond (7300 μ g L⁻¹) were used (Table 1). On the other hand, diazinon has been extensively monitored. The lowest (0.775 μ g L⁻¹), and the highest (24.6 μ g L⁻¹) recently reported values in the literature, and the estimated value in surface waters (429 μ g L⁻¹) were used for calculation (Table 1).

222

- 223 **3. Results**
- 224

225 3.1 *Chronic toxicity tests*

In standard chronic toxicity tests with *D. magna*, reproduction and mortality of adult daphnids were assessed. These data for all chemicals are shown in Table 2, columns 2-6. The negative control (solvent mixture commercially used for the preparation of Confidor SL 200) did not have any adverse effects on *D. magna* at the highest tested concentration of this chemical (0.02%; v/v).

Tested concentrations of IMI and Confidor SL 200 have similar impacts on the reproduction of *D. magna* (21 d LOEC = 2.5-10 mg L⁻¹ for different reproduction parameters), but Confidor SL 200 (21 d LOLC = 10 mg L⁻¹ of IMI) affected their survival at lower concentrations than IMI (21 d LOLC = 40 mg L⁻¹) (Table 2, Fig. 1).

Up to 5 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon, the reproduction of daphnids was not affected. At this concentration the mortality was 20%. At the next tested concentration of diazinon (8 μ g L⁻¹), the 100% mortality of daphnids was observed (Table 2).

238

240

In this study, the results of enzyme activities are expressed per animal and not per protein content, since the changes in protein content were observed as a result of exposure to the chemicals. The activities of all analyzed enzymes and the protein content in animals exposed to increasing concentrations of IMI and Confidor SL 200 decreased significantly (Fig.2a and 2b, Table 2).

In the experiments with diazinon, protein content of daphnids, ChE and GST activities did not change at any of the concentrations tested (up to 5 μ g L⁻¹). Contrary to other analysed enzymes, CAT activities significantly decreased at 0.312, 0.625 and 1.25 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon, but not at the highest concentrations 2.5 and 5 μ g L⁻¹ (Fig. 2c, Table 2).

To point out the importance of careful interpretation of enzyme activities, in case the protein content is changed during the exposure, specific enzyme activities per protein content were also calculated. In this case, CAT, GST and ChE activities increased significantly when exposed to IMI and Confidor SL 200. In the case of diazinon, enzyme activities were the same when calculated per animal or per protein content, since the protein content in this case did not change (not shown).

256

257

3.3 *Risk quotients of tested chemicals*

258

RQ values were calculated on the basis of recently detected and predicted aquatic levels of the chemicals tested, and on chronic toxicity data on *D. magna* gained in this work. These data show that only actual measured environmental levels of diazinon have RQ values higher than one, indicating them as potentially chronically hazardous to the reproduction of *D. magna* (Table 3), while RQ values for Confidor SL 200 and IMI are lower than one. In the case of an accidental spill, estimated concentrations of IMI and Confidor SL 200 would pose a serious chronic risk to the reproduction and selected enzyme activities of *D. magna* (RQ>1).

Based on recent literature data, diazinon has higher RQ values for aquatic organisms than IMI, but in general both chemicals are more harmful to aquatic invertebrates than fish (Table 4). Actual measurements of diazinon levels in the environment show that this insecticide is more hazardous to aquatic invertebrates (the highest calculated RQ = 117) than IMI (the highest calculated RQ = 1.4). However, the risk of estimated concentrations of IMI to aquatic invertebrates in the case of an accidental spill (the highest calculated RQ = 695.2) is very high (Table 4).

273

274 **4.** Discussion

275

In this study, chronic effects of imidacloprid, its commercial liquid formulation Confidor SL 200 and the organophosphorus pesticide diazinon on different biochemical, reproductive, and survival parameters in *D. magna* were assessed and compared.

Enzyme activities were expressed per animal and not per protein amount, because significant changes of the latter were found in daphnids exposed to IMI and Confidor SL 200. This suggests that increasing concentrations of these chemicals affected not only the investigated enzymes, but proteins in general. Consequently, enzyme activities expressed per protein content differ from those expressed per animal, implying that cautious interpretation of enzyme activities is needed in toxicity experiments. Similar point was raised by Printes and Callaghan (2003).

The activities of ChE, GST and CAT in control adult daphnids (22 d old) expressed per protein content were: 0.61 ± 0.043 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein; 87.26 ± 6.67 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹

13

protein and $84.28 \pm 4.84 \ \mu mol \ min^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$ protein, respectively. These values are lower than 288 those previously recorded in juvenile daphnids (2.5-62.3 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein for ChE 289 (Guilhermino et al., 1996, Diamantino et al., 2000; Barata et al., 2001), 250 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ 290 protein for GST (Barata et al., 2005), and 250 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein for CAT (Barata et al., 291 292 2005)). This is in agreement with Printes and Callaghan (2003) who observed significantly lower ChE activity in 14-21 d old daphnids (0.5 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein) compared to 1-2 d 293 old juveniles (2.5 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein), and with our previous study (Jemec et al., 2007), 294 295 where the activities of juvenile daphnids were significantly higher than the values published 296 here for the adult ones. This apparent inverse relationship between the age and enzyme activity was related to an increase in total protein of the animals during aging, which is not 297 298 proportional to the increase in the rate of substrate hydrolysis (Printes and Callaghan, 2003).

Our results indicate that tested concentrations of IMI and Confidor SL 200 have similar impacts on the reproduction of *D. magna*, but Confidor SL 200 affected survival at lower concentrations than IMI, possibly due to the synergism between the solvents and IMI. The same was noticed for biochemical parameters, where Confidor SL 200 was slightly more toxic than IMI (Table 2). The LOECs (2.5 mg L⁻¹) for the number of neonates per adult exposed to IMI are similar to those reported by Young and Blakemore (1990), who found the LOEC for reproduction at 7.3 mg L⁻¹.

The activities of all enzymes exposed to increasing concentrations of IMI and Confidor SL 200 were significantly decreased in this study. No data on the chronic effect of IMI on ChE, GST and CAT activities in daphnids are available in the literature. Only one study by Capowiez et al. (2003) showed no acute effects on ChE and GST activities in earthworms exposed up to 1 mg L^{-1} of IMI. The sensitivities of enzymes and reproduction end-points of animals exposed to IMI in our study are similar (e.g. similar LOEC). This suggests that the decrease of enzyme activities in this case is probably not an early, sensitivebiomarker of stress, but reflects a generally impaired physiological state of an organism.

314 In animals exposed to diazinon, no effects on the reproduction and survival of daphnids up to 5 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon were observed. However, already at 8 μ g L⁻¹, 100% 315 316 mortality was determined. Published data on the LOEC values for the reproduction of D. magna exposed to diazinon are very inconsistent. Fernandez-Casalderrey et al. (1995) 317 reported LOEC values for the reproduction in the range of 0.15-0.25 μ g L⁻¹, while Sanchez et 318 al. (1998) found significantly lower LOEC values for the same endpoint performed in similar 319 experimental setup (0.00005 - 0.0005 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon). Our higher LOEC values for the 320 321 reproduction of daphnids might be explained by differences in daphnid clones, and 322 experimental setup.

323 ChE activity was reported to be inhibited in daphnids exposed to organophosphates 324 (Day and Scott, 1990; Gälli et al., 1994), but no study has been performed on the effects of 325 organophosphorus pesticide diazinon on ChE activity in D. magna yet. Inhibition of ChE 326 activity was found in other organisms exposed to diazinon, for example in the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* exposed to 12 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon for 7 d (Gallindo-Reyes et al., 2000), 327 earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa exposed to diazinon at 12 mg kg⁻¹ (dry weight of soil) 328 (Booth and O'Halloran, 2001) and isopod *Porcellio scaber* at 5 μ g g⁻¹ of leaf (Stanek et al., 329 330 2006). However, this paper and our previous work (Jemec et al., 2007) indicate that ChE activity does not change in daphnids acutely and chronically exposed up to 5 μ g L⁻¹ of 331 332 diazinon. The differences in the changes of ChE activity after diazinon exposure can be 333 explained by species-specific biotransformation and detoxification mechanisms of diazinon to 334 a more potent diazoxon (Keizer et al., 1995). The induction of GST activity in diazinon-335 treated organisms was expected, because GST is able to detoxify this insecticide (Chambers, 1992), but in this study no GST induction was detected when animals were exposed up to 5 336

 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon. The same observation was reported in our previous paper, where no changes of GST activity were observed in daphnids acutely exposed up to 7 μ g L⁻¹ of diazinon (Jemec et al., 2007). No other studies on chronic effects of diazinon on GST activity in daphnids have previously been published.

341 There are very few data on environmental levels of IMI (only four studies in USA), 342 due to its irregular monitoring in aquatic environment. Based on our results, the levels of IMI in freshwaters that have been detected so far (1- 14 μ g L⁻¹), are not expected to be chronically 343 344 hazardous to the reproduction and survival of D. magna (RQ<1), however the same data are 345 reported to pose potential acute risk to some other aquatic invertebrates (RQ = 1.4). In 346 comparison to diazinon, actual aquatic levels of IMI are less hazardous (higher RQ) to aquatic 347 invertebrates, thus IMI is considered a possible replacement for diazinon (U.S. EPA, 2004). 348 However, in the case of accidental spill, estimated concentrations of IMI can also pose a 349 potential chronic risk to the reproduction of D. magna (RQ = 3), and acute risk to other 350 aquatic invertebrates (the highest calculated RQ = 695.2). Additionally, due to the increasing 351 use of IMI, one might expect significantly higher aquatic levels in the future. IMI also has 352 more physico-chemical properties that would favour its appearance in surface waters when 353 compared to diazinon (Table 1). It has higher water solubility, lower octanol-water partition 354 coefficient (K_{oc}), lower potential for sorption on soil (K_{ow}), and is more stable to hydrolysis 355 and soil degradation. Due to these characteristics, IMI is quite mobile in the environment and 356 stable on application sites, and it is very likely to be washed off the application sites, 357 especially off impervious surfaces (Oi, 1999). It degrades relatively quickly by aqueous 358 photolysis, but such decomposition can only occur at the surface of well-sunlit waters (TDC 359 Environmental, 2003; Fossen, 2006).

The toxicity of IMI is supposed to be very highly specific towards insects in comparison to mammals, due to specific binding to the postsynaptic nicotinic acetylcholine

receptors (nAChR) of insects (Tomizawa and Casida, 2003). However, limited attention was 362 363 paid to binding affinity of IMI to the nAChRs of other arthropods or more generally 364 invertebrates. Additionally, the toxicity is not solely the result of binding between the ligand 365 and the receptor, but depends on many activities in the organism, such as the metabolism of 366 the chemical or its interactions with cell components. It was shown that the toxicity of IMI 367 towards aquatic invertebrates varies, with D. magna being less sensitive than others, for 368 instance amphipod Hyalella azteca or midge Chironomus tentans, and having acute LC₅₀ 369 values in the same concentration range as fish (Table 1, Table 4). This suggests that the 370 toxicity of IMI is species-specific and may not easily be extrapolated to other organisms. 371 Relevant toxicity data could be obtained only when toxicity is tested with organisms 372 belonging to different taxonomic groups and trophic levels.

373

374 In conclusion, according to LOEC values, diazinon is more toxic to the reproduction 375 of D. magna than IMI and Confidor SL 200, which show similar toxicity. The same was 376 observed for the survival, except that commercial formulation (Confidor SL 200) is more 377 toxic than pure grade IMI. The actual aquatic levels of diazinon are potentially chronically 378 hazardous to the reproduction of D. magna (RQ>1), while recently detected concentrations of 379 IMI are not. Higher environmental levels of IMI are expected in the future due to its 380 increasing application and higher risk to aquatic organisms is anticipated. Additionally, we 381 have shown that in case IMI was accidentally spilled in a small pond, its predicted 382 environmental concentrations would chronically affect less sensitive organisms like D. magna 383 and acutely affect other, more sensitive aquatic invertebrates. Toxicity data on IMI presented 384 so far indicate that IMI is highly species-specific, therefore further (eco)toxicological studies 385 have to be performed with organisms belonging to different taxonomic groups, trophic levels 386 and habitats.

| Jo/ ACKIOWICugcinciits | 387 | Acknowledgements |
|------------------------|-----|------------------|
|------------------------|-----|------------------|

388

389 This work was financially supported by Slovenian Research Agency (projects no. J1-6001-

- 390 1540-04, P2-0150). We thank Dr. Bill Milne for valuable comments and suggestions.
- 391
- 392 **References**
- 393
- 394 Abdel-Halim, K.Y., Salama, A.K., El-khateeb, E.N., Bakry, N.M., 2006. Organophosphorous
- 395 pollutants (OPP) in aquatic environment at Damietta Governorate, Egypt: Implications for
- 396 monitoring and biomarkers reponses. Chemosphere 63, 1491-1498.
- Adams, S.M., 2002. Biological indicators of aquatic ecosystem stress. American Fisheries
 Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, pp. 1-23.
- 399 Aebi, H., 1984. Catalase *in Vitro*. Methods Enzymol. 105, 121-126.
- Anderson, T.D., Lydy, M.J., 2002. Increased toxicity to invertebrates associated with a
 mixture of atrazine and organophosphate insecticides. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21, 15071514.
- Anderson, B.S., Hunt, J.W., Phillips, B.M., Nicely, P.A., de Vlaming, V., Connor, V.,
 Richard, N., Tjeerdema, R.S., 2003. Integrated assessment of the impacts of agricultural
 drainwater in the Salinas River (California, USA). Environ. Pollut. 124, 523-532.
- Ankley, G.T., Collyard, S.A., 1995. Influence of piperonyl butoxide on the toxicity of
 organophosphate insecticides to three species of freshwater bentic invertebrates. Comp.
 Biochem. Physiol. 110 C, 149-155.
- 409 APVMA (Australian Pesticides & Veterinary Medicines Authority), 2003. The
 410 reconsideration of registrations of products containing diazinon and their labels, Part 1:
 411 product cancellations.

- 412 http://www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/diazinon reconsideration part1 2003.pdf
- 413 Armbrust, K.L., Peeler, H.B., 2002. Effects of formulation on the run-off of imidacloprid
 414 from turf. Pest Manag. Sci. 58, 702-706.
- Barata, C., Baird, D.J., Soares, A.M.V.M., Guilhermino, L., 2001. Biochemical factors
 contributing to response variation among resistant and sensitive clones of *Daphnia magna*Straus exposed to ethyl parathion. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 49, 155-163.
- 418 Barata, C., Varo, I., Navarro, J.C., Arun, S., Porte, C., 2005. Antioxidant enzyme activities
 419 and lipid peroxidation in the freshwater cladoceran *Daphnia magna* exposed to redox
 420 cycling compounds. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 140 C, 175-186.
- Baskaran, S., Kookana, R. S., Naidu, R., 1997. Determination of the insecticide imidacloprid
 in water and soil using high-performance liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A 787,
 271-275.
- Bavcon, M., Trebše, P., Zupančič-Kralj, L., 2003. Investigations of the determination and
 transformations of diazinon and malathion under environmental conditions using gas
 chromatography coupled with a flame ionisation detector. Chemosphere 50, 595-601.
- 427 Bayer technical information, Confidor[®], 2000. Bayer, Germany.
- 428 Booth, L.H., O' Halloran, K., 2001. A comparison of biomarker responses in the earthworm
- 429 *Aporrectodea caliginosa* to the organophosphorous insecticides diazinon and chlorpyrifos.
- 430 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20, 2494-2502.
- 431 California DPR, 2004. California Department of Pesticide Regulation.
- 432 *http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/*
- 433 Capowiez, Y., Rault, M., Mazzia, C., Belzunces, L., 2003. Earthworm behaviour as a
- 434 biomarker- a case study using imidacloprid. Pedobiologia 47, 542-547.

Chambers, H.W., 1992. Organophosphorous compounds: An overview. In: Chambers, J.E.,
Levi, P.E., (Eds.), Organophosphates: chemistry, fate and effects. San Diego Academic

437 Press, USA, pp. 3-73.

- 438 Cobb, G.P., Mellott, R., Brewer, W., Bens, C.M., Kendall, R.J., 2000. Diazinon dissipation
- from vegetation, occurrence in earthworms, and presence in avian gastrointestinal tracts
 collected from apple orchards following D-Z-N [®] 50W application. Environ. Toxicol.
 Chem. 19, 1360-1367.
- 442 Cooke, C.M., Shaw, G., Lester, J.N., Collins, C.D., 2004. Determination of solid-liquid
 443 partition coefficients (K_d) for diazinon, propetamphos and *cis* permethrin: implications
 444 for sheep dip disposal. Sci. Total. Environ. 329, 197-213.
- 445 Day, K.E., Scott, I.M., 1990. Use of acetylcholinesterase activity to detect sublethal toxicity
 446 in stream invertebrates exposed to low concentrations of organophosphate insecticides.
 447 Aquat. Toxicol. 18, 101-114.
- Diamantino, T.C., Guilhermino, L., Almeida, E., Soares, A.M.V.M., 2000. Toxicity of
 sodium molybdate and sodium dichromate to *Daphnia magna* Straus evaluated in acute,
- 450 chronic and acetylcholinesterase inhibition tests. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 45, 253-259.
- 451 Eisler, R., 1986. Diazinon hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: a synoptic review.
- 452 Biological report no. 85.; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Laurel, USA.
- 453 *http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/infobase/eisler/CHR_9_Diazinon.pdf*
- Elbert, A., Becker, B., Hartwig, J., Erdelen, C., 1991. Imidacloprid- a new systemic
 insecticide. Pflanzenschutz-Nachr. 44, 113-136.
- Ellman, L.G., Courtney, K.D., Andres, V.Jr., Featherstone, R.M., 1961. A new and rapid
 colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem. Pharmacol. 7, 8895.

- Felsot, A.S., Cone, W., Yu, J., Ruppert, J.R., 1998. Distribution of imidacloprid in soil
 following subsurface drip chemigation. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 60, 363-370.
- 461 Felsot, A.S., Ruppert, J.R, 2002. Imidacloprid residues in Wilapa Bay (Washington State)
 462 water and sediment following application for control of burrowing shrimp. J. Agric. Food
 463 Chem. 50, 4417- 4423.
- 464 Fernandez-Casalderrey, A., Ferrando, M.D., Andreu-Moliner, E., 1995. Chronic toxicity of
 465 diazinon to *Daphnia magna*: Effects on survival, reproduction and growth. Toxicol.
 466 Environ. Chem. 49, 25-32.
- 467 Ferrando, M.D., Alarcon, V., Fernandez- Casalderrey, A., Gamon, M., Andreu- Moliner, E.,
- 468 1992. Persistence of some pesticides in the aquatic environment. Bull. Environ. Contam.
 469 Toxicol. 48, 7474-755.
- 470 Fossen, M., 2006. Environmental fate of imidacloprid. California department of pesticide
 471 regulation. *http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/empm/pubs/fatememo/Imidclprdfate2.pdf*
- Gälli, R., Rich, H.W., Scholtz, R., 1994. Toxicity of organophosphate insecticides and their
 metabolites to the water flea *Daphnia magna*, the Microtox test and an
 acetylcholinesterase inhibition test. Aquat. Toxicol. 30, 259-269.
- Gallindo-Reyes, J.G., Venezia, D.L., Lazcano-Alvarez, G., Rivas-Mendoza, H., 2000.
 Enzymatic and osmoregulative alterations in white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* exposed
 to pesticides. Chemosphere 40, 233-237.
- 478 Gonzalez-Pradas, E., Fernandez- Perez, M., Villafranca-Sanchez, M., Flores-Cespedes, F.,
- 479 1999. Mobility of imidacloprid from alginate-bentonite controlled-release formulations in
 480 greenhouse soils. Pestic. Sci. 55, 1109- 1115.
- 481 Graebing, P., Chib, J.S., 2004. Soil photolysis in a moisture- and temperature-controlled
 482 environment. 2. Insecticides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 2606-2614.

- 483 Guilhermino, L., Lopes, M.C., Carvalho, A.P., Soares, A.M.V.M., 1996. Acetylcholinesterase
 484 activity in juveniles of *Daphnia magna* Straus. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 57, 979485 985.
- 486 Gupta, S., Gajbhiye, V.T., Kalpana, N.P., Agnihotri, 2002. Leaching behaviour of
 487 imidacloprid formulations in soil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 68, 502- 508.
- Habig, W.H., Pabst, M.J., Jakoby, W.B., 1974. Glutathione S-transferases, the first enzymatic
 step in mercapturic acid formation. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 7130-7139.
- Hall, L.W., 2003. Analysis of diazinon monitoring data from the Sacramento and Feather
 river watersheds: 1991-2001. Environ. Monit. Assess. 86, 233-253.
- Halliwell, B., Gutteridge, J.M.C., 1999. Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine. Oxford
 University Press, New York, USA.
- 494 ISO 6341:1996. Water Quality –Determination of the inhibition of the mobility of *Daphnia*495 *magna* Straus (Cladocera, Crustacea)-acute toxicity test in ISO 6341, Cor.1(98).
 496 International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneve, Switzerland.
- 497 ISO/IEC 17025:1999. General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration
 498 laboratories. International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneve, Switzerland.
- 499 ISO 10706:2000. Water quality Determination of long term toxicity of substances to
- 500 Daphnia magna Straus (Cladocera, Crustacea). International Organisation for
 501 Standardisation, Geneve, Switzerland.
- Jemec, A., Drobne, D., Tišler, T., Trebše, P., Roš, M., Sepčić, K., 2007. The applicability of
 acetylcholinesterase and glutathione S-transferase in *Daphnia magna* toxicity test. Comp.
 Biochem. Physiol. 144 C, 303-309.
- Kagabu, S., Medej, S., 1995. Stability comparison of imidacloprid and related compounds
 under simulated sunlight, hydrolysis conditions, and to oxygen. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.
 59, 980-985.

- Keizer, J., D'Agostino, G., Nagel, R., Volpe, T., Gnemi, P., Vittozzi, L., 1995. Enzymological
 differences of AChE and diazinon hepatic metabolism: correlation of in vitro data with the
 selective toxicity of diazinon to fish species. Sci. Total. Environ. 171, 213-220.
- 511 Knowles, C.O., McKee, M.J., 1987. Protein and nucleic acid content in *Daphnia magna*512 during chronic exposure to cadmium. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 13, 290-300.
- 513 Konstantinou, I.K., Hela, D.G., Albanis, T.A., 2006. The status of pesticide pollution in
- 514 surface waters (rivers and lakes) of Greece. Part I. Review on occurrence and levels.
 515 Environ. Pollut. 141, 555-570.
- 516 Krohn, J., Hellpointner, E., 2002. Environmental fate of imidacloprid. Pflanzenschutz-Nachr.
 517 55, 1-25.
- Kühn, R., Pattard, M., Pernack, K.D., Winter, A., 1989. Results of the harmful effects of
 water pollutants to *Daphnia magna* in the 21 d reproduction test. Wat. Res. 23, 501-510.
- Lee, S.K., Freitag, D., Steinberg, C., Kettrup, A., Kim, Y.H., 1993. Effects of dissolved humic
 materials on acute toxicity of some organic chemicals to aquatic organisms. Wat. Res. 27,
 199-204.
- Matsuda, K., Buckingham, S.D., Kleier, D., Rauh, J.J., Grauso, M., Sattelle, D.B., 2001.
 Neonicotinoids: insecticides acting on insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Trends
 Pharmacol. Sci. 22, 573- 580.
- Moza, P.N., Hustert, K., Feicht, E., Kettrup, A., 1998. Photolysis of imidacloprid in aqueous
 solution. Chemosphere 36, 497-502.
- Nemeth-Konda, L., Füleky, Gy., Morovjan, Gy., Csokan, P., 2002. Sorption behaviour of
 acetochlor, atrazine, carbendazim, diazinon, imidacloprid and isoproturon on Hungarian
 agricultural soil. Chemosphere 48, 545-552.
- 531 Oi, M., 1999. Time-dependent sorption of imidacloprid in two different soils. J. Agric. Food
 532 Chem. 47, 327-332.

- 533 PAN Pesticides database, 2006. http://www.pesticideinfo.org
- 534 Pfeuffer, R.J., Matson, F., 2001. Pesticide surface water quality report: March 2001 sampling
 535 event. South Florida Water Management District, USA.
- 536 *http://www.sfwmd.gov/curre/pest/P9911rpt.pdf*
- 537 Printes, L.B., Callaghan, A., 2003. Interclonal variability in Daphnia acetylcholinesterase
- activity: The implications for its applicability as a biomarker. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 22,
 2042-2047.
- Sanchez, M., Ferrando, M.D., Sancho, E., Andreu-Moliner, E., 1998. Evaluation of a *Daphnia magna* renewal life-cycle test method with diazinon. J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B,
 Pestic. Food Contam. Agric. Wastes 33, 785-797.
- Sarkar, M.A., Biswas, P.K., Roy, S., Kole, R.K., Chowdhury, A., 1999. Effect of pH and type
 of formulation on the persistence of imidacloprid in water. Bull. Environ. Contam.
 Toxicol. 63, 604-609.
- 546 SERA (Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc.), 2005. Imidacloprid-Human
 547 health and ecological risk assessment-final report; prepared for USDA, Forest Service,
- 548 USA (SERA TR 05-43-24-03a).
- 549 *http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/risk_assessments/122805_Imidacloprid.pdf*
- Song, M.Y., Stark, J.D., Brown, J.J., 1997. Comparative toxicity of four insecticides,
 including imidacloprid and tebufenozide, to four aquatic arthropods. Environ. Toxicol.
 Chem. 16, 2494-2500.
- Stanek, K., Drobne, D., Trebše, P., 2006. Linkage of biomarkers along levels of biological
 complexity in juvenile and adult diazinon fed terrestrial isopod (*Porcellio scaber*,
 Isopoda, Crustacea). Chemosphere 64, 1745-1752.
- 556 TDC Environmental, 2003. Insecticide market trends and potential water quality implications.
- 557 *http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb2/TMDL/urbcrksdiazinon/Final Report.pdf*

- Tomizawa, M., Casida, J.E., 2003. Selective toxicity of neonicotinoids attributable to
 specificity of insect and mammalian nicotinic receptors. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 48, 339364.
- Tomizawa, M., Casida, J.E., 2005. Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology: Mechanisms of
 selective action. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 45, 247-268.
- 563 Tomlin, C.D.S., 1997. The pesticide manual. The British Crop Protection Council, UK.
- 564 U.S. EPA, 1999. Office of prevention, pesticides and toxic substances. EFED RED chapter
 565 for diazinon, Case # 818962. *http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/op/diazinon/efedrisk.pdf*
- 566 U.S. EPA, 2004. Office of prevention, pesticides and toxic substances. EPA 738-R-04-006,
- 567 Diazinon, interim reregistration eligibility decision.
- 568 http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/diazinon_ired.pdf
- 569 U.S. Geological Survey, 2003. Lake Wales Ridge ground water monitoring study.
 570 http://fisc.er.usgs.gov/Lake_Wales_Ridge/index.html
- Wamhoff, H., Schneider, V., 1999. Photodegradation of imidacloprid. J. Agric. Food Chem.
 47, 1730-1734.
- Watanabe, H., Grismer, M.E., 2001. Diazinon transport through inter-row vegetative filter
 strips: micro-ecosystem modeling. J. Hydrol. 247, 183-199.
- 575 WHO, 2005. The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard and guidelines to 576 classification: 2004. *http://www.inchem.org/documents/pds/pdsother/class.pdf*
- Young, B., Blakemore, G., 1990. 21-days chronic static renewal toxicity of NTN 33893 to *Daphnia magna*: Lab project No. 38346:100247. Unpublished study prepared by
 Analytical Bio-Chemistry Labs., Inc., MRID 42055321.
- 580 Zheng, W., Liu, W., 1999. Kinetics and mechanism of the hydrolysis of imidacloprid. Pestic.
 581 Sci. 55, 482-485.
- 582

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Effects of IMI and Confidor SL 200 on the reproduction (number of neonates per female) and survival of *D. magna*. Data for reproduction are shown as mean of six replicates \pm standard error of mean, and for survival as mean of three replicates. Data were fitted using sigmoid curves with the following slopes: -2.36 \pm 0.42 and 1.81 \pm 1.52 for the reproduction and survival of IMI, respectively, and -2.88 \pm 1.00 and 2.63 \pm 1.36 for the reproduction and survival of Confidor SL 200, respectively (95% confidence interval). The values for control unexposed animals were not included when fitting the data, but they are shown on graphs for comparison.

Fig.2. GST, CAT, ChE activities and protein content in *D. magna* exposed to IMI (2a), Confidor SL 200 (2b), and diazinon (2c) (mean of six replicates \pm standard error of mean). Data for daphnids exposed to IMI and Confidor SL 200 were fitted using sigmoid curves. The values for control unexposed animals were not included when fitting the data, but they are shown on graphs for comparison. The slopes of the sigmoid curves for the GST, CAT, ChE activities and protein content in daphnids exposed to IMI were: -1.39 ± 1.39 , -1.32 ± 1.56 , - 2.24 ± 2.28 and -2.44 ± 1.22 , respectively, and in the case of Confidor SL 200: -0.98 ± 3.31 , - 1.12 ± 3.69 , -1.68 ± 3.656 and -2.00 ± 3.25 , respectively (95% confidence interval). Enzyme units (EU) were defined as: the amount of ChE that hydrolyses 0.01 nmoles of acetylthiocholine min⁻¹, the amount of CAT that degrades 1 µmole of hydrogen peroxide min⁻¹.



Fig.2a











Table 1. Properties of IMI and diazinon



| | DIAZINON | Ref. № | IMI | Ref. № |
|--|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| First introduced | 1952; J.R. Geigy S.A. (Novartis | 28 | 28 1991; Bayer AG and Nihon Tokushu | |
| commercially | Crop Protection AG) | | Noyaku Seizo KK | |
| PHYSICO-CHEMICAL | | | • | |
| PROPERTIES: | | | | |
| Water solubility at 20 °C | 60 | 28 | a.) 610 | a.) 28 |
| $(mg L^{-1})$ | | | b.) 514 | b.) 11 |
| K _{oc} (soil organic carbon- | a.) 1589 (20 °C) | a.) 19 | a.) 210 (20 °C) | a.) 19 |
| water partitioning | b.) 1520 | b.) 26 | b.) 249-268 | b.) 20 |
| coefficient) | c.) 851±180 | c.) 6 | c.) 109-411 (20 °C) | c.) 16 |
| $Log K_{ow}$ (octanol-water | a.) 3.14 (20 °C) | a.) 28 | a.) 0.57 (22 °C) | a.) 28 |
| partition coefficient) | b.) 3.3 (25 °C) | b.) 26 | b.) 0.92 (20 °C) | b.) 19 |
| | $\frac{c}{3.81(20 \text{ °C})}$ | <u>c.) 19</u> | $\frac{c.00.589(22 \circ C)}{c.00.589(22 \circ C)}$ | c.) 14 |
| Average application rate | a.) $3.0-3.1$ (orchard) | a.) 5 | a.) 0.3-0.5 (soil) | a.) 24 |
| (kg of active ingredient na) | b.) 0.5 (foliar); 4 (soli); 1-3 (fruit) | D.) 30 | | |
| ENVIKONMENIAL EATE: | | | | |
| PATE: | a) 2.24 (Salinas river California | a) 3 | a) 16 (see Wilene Bay USA) | a) 8 |
| concentrations (ug I^{-1}) | usa) | a.) 3 b.) 13 | b) 1 (surface water Florida USA) | a.) o b) 22 |
| concentrations (µg E) | b) 6.8 (Sacramento river | c) 15 | c) 14 (Lake Wales Ridge USA) | c) 31 |
| | watershed USA) | d)1 | d) 6.7 (ground water New York | d)11 |
| | c.) 0.775 (Greece rivers, EU) | u .) 1 | USA) | u .) 11 |
| | d.) 24.6 (Vicinity of pesticide | | | |
| | factory, Egypt) | | | |
| Estimated aquatic | $8.89-429 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ (depends on the | 30 | a.) 36.04 μ g L ⁻¹ (acute surface water | a.) 11 |
| concentrations | type of application on the crop) | | exposure); 17.24 μ g L ⁻¹ (chronic | , |
| | | | surface water exposure) | |
| | | | b.) 22 μ g L ⁻¹ (accidental direct spray | b.) 24 |
| | | | in a pond or stream); 1.8-7.3 mg L^{-1} | |
| | | | (accidental spill in a small pond) | |
| Aqueous photolysis DT ₅₀ | 140 d | 26 | a.) 3 h (simulated sunlight, 30 °C) | a.) 14 |
| | | | b.) 1.2 h (d H ₂ O, λ = 290 nm, 24 °C) | b.) 18 |
| | | | c.) 0.7 h (d H ₂ O, λ = 280 nm); 2.1 h | c.) 32 |
| | | | (Confidor; d H ₂ O, λ = 280 nm) | d.) 16 |
| | | | d.) 1 h (d H_2O , simulated sunlight) | |
| Hydrolysis DT_{50} (d) | a.) 12 (pH5.0); 138 (pH 7.0); 77 | a.) 30 | a.) 168 (26 °C, pH 4.7, 7.7, 9.0) | a.) 14 |
| | (pH 7.7) | b.) 10 | b.) 90 (20 °C, pH 3, 5, 7) | b.) 36 |
| | b.) 3 (natural water pH 9.0, 12 h | c.) 26 | c.) > 30 | c.) 11 |
| | photoperiod) | | a.) 37.5 (Confidor 200 SL); | d.) 23 |
| Soil shatalasis DT (d) | c.) 5, highly depends on pH | 26 | 41 (Gaucho /0 wS) (pH /.0, 30 °C) | a) 16 |
| Soli photolysis D1 ₅₀ (d) | 5 | 20 | a.) 39 | a.) 10 b) 11 |
| Soil anaerobic DT (d) | 17 | 26 | 27.1 | 11 |
| Soil aerobic $DT_{50}(d)$ | 20 | 20 | 27.1 a) 156 | $\frac{11}{2}$ |
| $5011 aerobic D1_{50} (d)$ | 55 | 20 | a.) 150 b.) 997 | a.) 10 b) 11 |
| Field dissipation DT _{co} (d) | a) 54-27 (lower value in moist | a) 12 | a) 190 (no vegetation) 45 | $\frac{0.011}{2}$ |
| riera alsoipation 2 130 (a) | irradiated sandy soil) | u.) 12 | (vegetation): 180 (sandy and silt | u.) 20 |
| | b.) 7-87.5 (lower value in non- | b.) 33 | loam) | b.) 16 |
| | sterile sandy loam) | , | b.) 74-156 (20 °C, bare soil); 30 -160 | , |
| | c.) 5-20 | c.) 30 | (sediments) | c.) 11 |
| | d.) 3-13 | d.) 26 | c.) 27-229 | |
| TOXICITY: | | | | |
| WHO classification | II = moderately hazardous | 34 | II = moderately hazardous | 34 |
| Fish: | 1a.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 90- $\overline{400 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}}$ | 1a.) 29 | 1.a) $LC_{50} (96 \text{ h}) = 211 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ | 1a.) 11 |
| 1.) Rainbow trout | 1b.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 20 µg L ⁻¹ | 1b.) 26 | 1.b) LC_{50} (96 h) > 83 mg L ⁻¹ | 1b.) 24 |
| Oncorhynchus mykiss | 1c.) $LC_{50} (96 \text{ h}) = 90-400 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ | 1c.) 7 | $-LC_{50} (96 h) = 211 mg L^{-1}$ | |
| l | | | -LOLC (96 h) = 64 mg L^{-1} | |

| | | | -LOLC (96 h) = 281 mg L^{-1} | |
|---------------------------|---|---------|--|---------|
| 2.) Bluegill | 2a.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 136 µg L ⁻¹ ; 168 | 2a) 29 | 2.) LC_{50} (96 h) >105 mg L ⁻¹ | 2.) 24 |
| Lepomis machrochirus | μg L ⁻¹ ; 460 μg L ⁻¹ | 2b.) 7 | -LOLC (96 h) = 42 mg L^{-1} | |
| | 2b.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 120-670 µg L ⁻¹ | | | |
| 3.) Zebrafish Danio rerio | 3.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 10 mg L ⁻¹ | 3.) 17 | 3) LC_{50} (96 h) = 241 mg L ⁻¹ | 3.) 21 |
| Aquatic invertebrates: | 1a.) LC_{50} (48 h) = 0.96 µg L ⁻¹ | 1a) 28 | 1a.) LC_{50} (48 h) = 85 mg L ⁻¹ | 1a.) 11 |
| 1.) Water flea | 1b.)LC ₅₀ (48 h) = 0.83; 1.1 μ g L ⁻¹ | 1b.) 29 | 1b.) LC_{50} (48 h) = 10.4 mg L ⁻¹ | 1b.) 25 |
| Daphnia magna | 1c.) EC ₅₀ (48 h) = 0.9 μ g L ⁻¹ | 1c.) 17 | 1c.) EC ₅₀ (48 h) = 56.6 mg L ⁻¹ | 1c.) 21 |
| | 1d.)NOEC _{repr.} (21 d) = 5 μ g L ⁻¹ | 1d.) 27 | 1d.) LOEC _{repr.} (21 d) = 7.3 mg L^{-1} | 1d) 35 |
| | 1f.) LOEC _{repr.} $(21 \text{ d}) = 0.15 - 0.25$ | 1f.) 9 | 1f.) LOEC _{repr.} (21 d) = mg L ⁻¹ | 1f.) 27 |
| | $\mu g L^{-1}$ | | | |
| 2.) Amphipod | 2a.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 6.51 µg L ⁻¹ | 2a.) 4 | 2.) LC_{50} (96 h) (juveniles) = 0.526 | 2.) 24 |
| Hyalella azteca | 2b.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 4.3 µg L ⁻¹ | 2b.) 2 | mg L ⁻¹ | |
| | | | $-LC_{50}$ (96 h) (14-21 d old) = 51.8 mg L ⁻¹ | |
| | | | $-LC_{50}$ (96 h) (7-21 d old) = 94.8 mg L ⁻¹ | |
| | | | -LOEC _{immobility} (96 h) (juveniles) = $0.00097 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ | |
| | | | -LOLC (96 h) (14-21 d old) = 43.8 | |
| | | | $mg L^{-1}$ | |
| | | | - NOEC $_{\text{immobility}}$ (96 h) (7-21 d old) = | |
| | | | 94.8 mg L^{-1} | |
| 3.) Midge | 3.) LC_{50} (96 h) = 10.7 µg L ⁻¹ | 3.) 4 | 3.) LC_{50} (96 h) (2 nd instar) = 0.0105 | 3.) 24 |
| Chironomus tentans | | | $mg L^{-1};$ | |
| | | | - LOLC (96 h)(2^{nd} instar) = 0.00339 | |
| | | | mg L ⁻¹ | |

Abbreviations: DT_{50} (half life); EC_{50} (median effective concentration for immobility); LC_{50} (median lethal concentration); LOEC _{repr. / immobility} (lowest observed effect concentration for reproduction/immobility); LOLC (lowest observed lethal concentration); NOEC _{repr./ immobility} (no observed effect concentration for reproduction/immobility); OC (organic carbon).

References: Abdel-Halim et al., 2006 (1); Anderson and Lydy, 2002 (2), Anderson et al., 2003 (3); Ankley and Collyard, 1995 (4); Cobb et al., 2000 (5); Cooke et al. 2004 (6); Eisler, 1986 (7); Felsot and Ruppert, 2002 (8); Fernandez-Casalderrey et al., 1995 (9); Ferrando et al., 1992 (10); Fossen, 2006 (11); Graebing and Chib, 2004 (12); Hall, 2003 (13); Kagabu and Medej, 1995 (14); Konstantinou et al., 2006 (15); Krohn and Hellpointner, 2002 (16); Lee et al., 1993 (17); Moza et al., 1998 (18); Nemeth-Konda et al., 2002 (19); Oi, 1999 (20); Our laboratory, unpublished (21); Pfeuffer and Matson, 2001 (22); Sarkar et al., 1999 (23); SERA, 2005 (24); Song et al., 1997 (25); TDC Environmental, 2003 (26); This study (27); Tomlin, 1997 (28); U.S. EPA, 1999 (29); U.S. EPA, 2004 (30); U.S. Geological Survey, 2003 (31); Wamhoff and Schneider, 1999 (32); Watanabe and Grismer, 2001 (33); WHO, 2005 (34); Young and Blakemore, 1990 (35); Zheng and Liu, 1999 (36).

Table 2. 21 d LOEC (lowest observed effect concentration) values for biochemical and reproduction data, and 21 d LOLC (lowest observed lethal concentration) values for mortality data. The following concentrations of analytical grade IMI: 0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 mg L^{-1} ; IMI formulated as Confidor SL 200: 0, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 mg L^{-1} of IMI, and diazinon: 0, 0.0753, 0.165, 0.312, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 8 µg L^{-1} were tested.

| Endpoint | № of neonates | Brood size | Days to first brood | № of broods per | Mortality | GST | CAT | ChE | Total protein |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Chemical | per adult | | | adult | | | | | content |
| $IMI (mg L^{-1})$ | 2.5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 2.5 | 5 | 10 | 1.25 |
| Confidor SL 200 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.5 |
| (in mg L ⁻¹ of IMI) | | | | | | | | | |
| Diazinon (µg L ⁻¹) | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | 8^1 | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | n.d. ² | 5<(L)OEC< 8 | 5<(L)OEC< 8 |

¹100% mortality of adult daphnids was observed at this concentration. At lower tested concentration (5 μ g/L), no statistically significant mortality was detected ($\leq 20\%$).

²LOEC could not be determined due to insignificant trend

Table 3. Calculated risk quotients (RQ) of tested chemicals for *D. magna* (based on 21 d LOEC (lowest observed effect concentration) for biochemical parameters (bio. param.), reproduction, and 21 d LOLC (lowest observed lethal concentration) for survival).

| Chemical | Exposure concentration ($\mu g L^{-1}$) ² | RQ bio. param. | RQ reproduction | RQ survival |
|----------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| IMI | a.) highest detected: 14 | a.) 0.0112 | a.) 0.0056 | a.) 0.00035 |
| | b.) lowest detected: 1 | b.) 0.0008 | b.) 0.0004 | b.) 0.000025 |
| | c.) estimated (chronic surface water): 17.24 | c.) 0.0138 | c.) 0.0069 | c.) 0.00043 |
| | d.) estimated (accidental spill): 7300 | d.) 5.8 ¹ | d.) 3 ¹ | d.) 0.183 |
| IMI in | a.) highest detected: 14 | a.) 0.0056 | a.) 0.0028 | a.) 0.0014 |
| Confidor | b.) lowest detected: 1 | b.) 0.0004 | b.) 0.0002 | b.) 0.0001 |
| SL 200 | c.) estimated (chronic surface water): 17.24 | c.) 0.0069 | c.) 0.00345 | c.) 0.00172 |
| | d.) estimated (accidental spill): 7300 | d.) 3 ¹ | d.) 1.46 ¹ | d.) 0.73 |
| | | | | |
| Diazinon | a.) highest detected: 24.6 | a.) > 3.1^1 | a.) > 3.1^1 | a.) 3.1^1 |
| | b.) lowest detected: 0.775 | b.) > 0.097 | b.) > 0.097 | b.) 0.097 |
| | c.) estimated: 429 | $c.) > 53.6^1$ | $c.) > 53.6^1$ | c.) 53.6 ¹ |

¹Potentially chronically hazardous to *D. magna* (RQ>1) (U.S. EPA; 2004)

² Please refer to Table 1 for references on exposure concentrations

| Table 4. Calculated risk quotients (RQ) of diazinon | and IMI for freshwater invertebrates and |
|---|--|
| vertebrates (fish) (based on LC ₅₀ (96 h)) | |

| Chemical | Exposure concentration $(\mu g L^{-1})^2$ | RQ: Aquatic | RQ: Vertebrates |
|----------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | invertebrates ² | (fish) ² |
| IMI | a.) highest detected: 14 | $0.0266-1.4^{1}$ | 0.000058-0.000168 |
| | b.) lowest detected: 1 | 0.0019-0.095 | 0.0000041-0.000012 |
| | d.) estimated (accidental spill): 7300 | 13.8 ¹ -695.2 ¹ | 0.031-0.0879 |
| Diazinon | a.) highest detected: 24.6 | 0.145-117 ¹ | 0.0025-1.231 |
| | b.) lowest detected: 0.775 | 0.0046-3.7 ¹ | 0.000077-0.0387 |
| | c.) estimated: 429 | 2.52 ¹ -2043 ¹ | 0.0429-21.45 ¹ |

¹Potentially acutely hazardous to selected aquatic organisms (RQ>0.5) (U.S. EPA; 2004)

 2 Please refer to Table 1 and TDC Environmental (2003) for references on exposure concentrations and LC₅₀ (96

h) data, respectively.